

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20221

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/082,822	10/24/2001	Hakan Ates Gurcan	M-12141 US	1052
7	590 03/12/2003			
David E. Steuber SKJERVEN MORRILL MacPHERSON LLP Suite 700			EXAMINER	
			THOMAS, BRANDI N	
25 Metro Drive	:			
San Jose, CA 95110-1349			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2873	
			DATE MAILED: 03/12/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	icant(a)
			icant(s)
	Office Action Summary	10/082,822	GURCAN, HAKAN ATES
	imoo , todon ounmary	Examiner	Art Unit
	The MAILING DATE of this communication an	Brandi N Thomas	2873
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
Failur Any r	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a rep ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. 13 from the mailing date of this communication.
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a)□			
3)	, ,	nis action is non-final.	_
•	Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	ance except for formal matte Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	ers, prosecution as to the merits is 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)🖂	Claim(s) 57-64 is/are pending in the application	on.	
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	
	Claim(s) 65-75 is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>57,58 and 60-64</u> is/are rejected.		
	Claim(s) <u>59</u> is/are objected to.		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
	on Papers	1	
9)□ Т	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	
10)⊠ T	he drawing(s) filed on 24 October 2001 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b) objecte	d to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11) 🗌 T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disa	approved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office action.	
12)[] T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) 🗌 📝	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[_	All b) Some * c) None of:		
•	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.	
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Appl	ication No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Burse the attached detailed Office action for a list of	ty documents have been red eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ceived in this National Stage
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic		
	☐ The translation of the foreign language prov		
15)∐ Ac	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§	120 and/or 121.
ttachment(s			· - · · - · · ·
) Notice (of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u> .		mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152) d Action .
Patent and Trad O-326 (Rev.		on Summary	Part of Paper No. 5

Art Unit: 2873

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

Acknowledgement is made of receipt of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1. 1449) filed 7/5/01. An initialed copy is attached to this Office Action.

Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election of 57-75 in Paper No. 4 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 57-58 and 60-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gaverick et al. (US 2002/0101769 A1).

Regarding claim 57, Garverick et al. discloses a method for operating a driver circuit electrostatically driving a MEMS structure, comprising: a first and second voltage which can be coupled to a drive electrode that electrostatically drives the MEMS structure (section 0015 and 0016) but does not specifically state generating a first output current in response to a first digital

Art Unit: 2873

control word and integrating the first output current to increase or decrease a first voltage by an amount and in a direction controlled by the first digital control word. It is obvious to generate a first output current, which is the output, in response to a first digital control word, which is the input, and integrating the first output current to increase or decrease a first voltage by an amount and in a direction controlled by the first digital control word this being reasonably based upon it is well known in the art of digital controllers.

Regarding claim 58, Garverick et al. discloses the driver circuit (146) fits within a footprint of the MEMS structure (142, tiltable mirrors are fabricated as a MEMS structure) to be driven by the driver circuit (section 0015 and figure 3).

Regarding claim 60, Garverick et al. discloses that the MEMS structure is a micromirror (140) (section 0039 and figure 3).

Regarding claim 61, it is inherent wherein the first digital control word further includes a bit representing an integration duration and said generating the first output current comprises generating the first output current for the integration duration this being reasonably based upon digital controllers use bits and words to control all inputs and outputs.

Regarding claim 62, it is inherent wherein the first digital control word further includes a bit representing an integration direction and said generating the first output current comprises generating the first output current for the integration direction this being reasonably based upon digital controllers use bits and words to control all inputs and outputs.

Regarding claim 63, it is inherent wherein the first digital control word further includes one or more bits representing an integration current level and said generating the first output current further comprises generating the first output current at the integration current level this

Art Unit: 2873

being reasonably based upon digital controllers use bits and words to control all inputs and outputs.

Regarding claim 64, Garverick et al. discloses a method for operating a driver circuit electrostatically driving a MEMS structure, comprising: a third voltage which can be coupled to a drive electrode (section 0017) but does not specifically state generating a second output current, which is the output, in response to a second digital control word, which is the input, and integrating the second output current to increase or decrease a second voltage by an amount and in a direction controlled by the second digital control word. It is obvious to generate a second output current in response to a second digital control word and integrating the second output current to increase or decrease a second voltage by an amount and in a direction controlled by the second digital control word this being reasonably based upon it is well known in the art of digital controllers.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claim 59 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Claims 65-75 are allowed.
- 7. The prior art taken either singularly or in combination fails to anticipate or fairly suggest the limitations of the claim(s), in such a manner that a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 or 103 would be proper. The prior art fails to teach a combination of all the claimed features as presented in claim(s) 59 and 65-75, wherein the claimed invention comprises a footprint of 1.2

Page 4

Art Unit: 2873

by 1.2 mm², a first digital word representing a change to a first voltage across an integrate-and-hold capacitor; a first digital word, generating a first reference current in the first integration direction, at a level scaled relative to the first integration current level, and for the integration duration; and supplying the first output current to the integrate-and-hold capacitor, wherein a second voltage develops across the integrate-and-hold capacitor and can be coupled to a drive electrode, as claimed. The combination of all the claimed features are not anticipated or made obvious by the prior art.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Wong et al. (6529654 B1) discloses a method for operating and controlling movement of a micro-mirror structure coupled to a pair of torsion bars in a transparent manner.

Xu et al. (6441449 B1) discloses a high quality variable capacitor fabricated using micro electro-mechanical systems technology.

Chan et al. (6504641 B2) discloses a driver for use with a micro electro-mechanical systems device and the method and operation thereof.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brandi N Thomas whose telephone number is 703-308-3095. The examiner can normally be reached on 7-4:30.

Art Unit: 2873

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Georgia Epps can be reached on 703-308-4883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7724 for regular communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-4883.

BNT

March 10, 2003

-HICKY MACK

PRIMARY EXAMINER